

HD1761  
G6  
No. 152



A Publication of The College of Agriculture

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

**PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS  
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS  
1926 - 27 to 1952 - 53**

**Sidney Hoos**

LIBRARY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
DAVIS

**CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION  
GIANNINI FOUNDATION OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS**

Mimeographed Report No. 152

June 1953

THE HISTORY OF THE  
CITY OF BOSTON

FROM 1630 TO 1800

BY

JOHN

W. COOPER, ESQ.

OF THE BARR

AT BOSTON

IN TWO VOLUMES

VOLUME THE FIRST

BOSTON

1800

PRINTED BY G. B. LEECH, AT THE

PRINTING OFFICE OF J. B. LEECH,

IN THE CITY OF BOSTON

AND SOLD BY J. B. LEECH,

AT THE SIGN OF THE

PRINTING OFFICE, IN THE CITY OF BOSTON

AND BY J. B. LEECH,

AT THE SIGN OF THE

PRINTING OFFICE,

IN THE CITY OF BOSTON

AND BY J. B. LEECH,

AT THE SIGN OF THE

PRINTING OFFICE,

IN THE CITY OF BOSTON

AND BY J. B. LEECH,

AT THE SIGN OF THE

PRINTING OFFICE,



PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS  
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS, 1926-27 to 1952-53

Sidney Hoos<sup>1/</sup>

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction . . . . .	1
Results . . . . .	2
Data . . . . .	4
Technical Note . . . . .	7
Table 0. Canners' On Hand, June 1, 1953, and Movement in 1952-53 . . .	8
Table 1. F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears and Related Economic Variables, from 1926-27 . . . . .	9
Table 2. Actual and Estimated F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Average All Grades and Sizes, from 1926-27 . . . . .	10
Table 3. F.O.B. Prices of Canned Fruits, from 1924-25 . . . . .	11
Table 4. Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fruits Competing with Pacific Coast Canned Pears, from 1924-25 . . .	12
Table 5. Canners' Commercial Domestic Shipments of Canned Fruits, from 1924-25 . . . . .	13
Table 6. California Canned Cling Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25 . . . . .	14
Table 7. California Canned Apricots, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25 . . . . .	16
Table 8. Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25 . . . . .	18
Table 9. California Canned Freestone Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry- Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25 . . . . .	20
Table 10. California Canned Fruit Cocktail, Canners' Pack, Carry- Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1936-37 . . . . .	22

---

<sup>1/</sup> Professor of Agricultural Economics and Economist in the Experiment Station  
and on the Giannini Foundation.



CONTENTS

Page

1	Introduction . . . . .
2	Results . . . . .
3	Data . . . . .
4	Technical Note . . . . .
5	Table 0. Cannery On Hand, June 1, 1953, and Movement in 1952-53 . . . . .
6	Table 1. F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears and Related Economic Variables, from 1926-27 . . . . .
7	Table 2. Actual and Estimated F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Average All Grades and Sizes, from 1926-27 . . . . .
8	Table 3. F.O.B. Prices of Canned Pears, from 1926-27 . . . . .
9	Table 4. Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Pears Competing with Pacific Coast Canned Pears, from 1926-27 . . . . .
10	Table 5. Cannery Commercial Domestic Shipments of Canned Pears, from 1926-27 . . . . .
11	Table 6. California Canned Pears, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1926-27 . . . . .
12	Table 7. California Canned Apricots, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1926-27 . . . . .
13	Table 8. Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1926-27 . . . . .
14	Table 9. California Canned Tree-Ripe Peaches, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1926-27 . . . . .
15	Table 10. California Canned Fruit Cocktail, Canners' Pack, Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1926-27 . . . . .



PACIFIC COAST CANNED PEARS  
F.O.B. PRICE RELATIONSHIPS, 1926-27 to 1952-53

Sidney Hoos

Introduction

This report is being issued in response to a request of growers and canners. The report's objective is to provide the various participants in the canned pear industry--growers, packers, distributors, and purchasers--with economic and marketing information. Such information, including statistical data on packs, shipments, carry-overs, f.o.b. prices and their relations to the major factors affecting them, is used by canners and grower groups in their consideration and formulation of production and marketing policies and programs.

The analysis of f.o.b. price relationships summarized in this report includes several aspects introduced to strengthen the analysis and its results. These aspects may be briefly indicated as follows: The index of competing canned fruit prices has been broadened by adding canned fruit cocktail and canned free-stone peaches to the three fruits--canned apricots, canned peaches, and canned pineapple--previously included in the index. The weights applied to the prices in the index of competing canned fruit prices have been revised to reflect the current relative importance of the various canned fruits. The index of United States nonagricultural income previously used has been replaced by an index of the United States disposable personal income. Finally, the base periods for the index of competing canned fruit prices and for the income index were shifted from 1935-1939 to 1947-1949. Since the details of these changes were discussed in an earlier report,<sup>1/</sup> they are not discussed further here.

-----

<sup>1/</sup> Hoos, Sidney, and R. D. Aplin. Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Revised Analyses of F.O.B. Price Relationships. Berkeley, 1953. (Calif. Agr. Exp. Sta. Mimeographed Report 148)



Sidney Hoos

## Introduction

This report is being issued in response to a request of growers and canners. The report's objective is to provide the various participants in the canned pear industry--growers, packers, distributors, and purchasers--with economic and marketing information. Such information, including statistical data on packs, shipments, carry-overs, f.o.b. prices and their relations to the major factors affecting them, is used by canners and grower groups in their consideration and formulation of production and marketing policies and programs.

The analysis of f.o.b. price relationships summarized in this report includes several aspects introduced to strengthen the analysis and its results. These aspects may be briefly indicated as follows: The index of competing canned fruit prices has been broadened by adding canned fruit cocktail and canned (free-stone) peaches to the three fruits--canned apricots, canned peaches, and canned pineapples--previously included in the index. The weights applied to the prices in the index of competing canned fruit prices have been revised to reflect the current relative importance of the various canned fruits. The index of United States nonagricultural income previously used has been replaced by an index of the United States disposable personal income. Finally, the base periods for the index of competing canned fruit prices and for the income index were shifted from 1935-1939 to 1917-1919. Since the details of these changes were discussed in an earlier report, they are not discussed further here.



The period covered by this report begins with the 1926-27 marketing season and ends with the 1952-53 season. The war years, 1940-41 through 1945-46, are excluded from the analysis because of the abnormal conditions prevailing then such as price controls; 1946-47 was excluded since a large proportion of canners' shipments that year went into refilling the supply pipe line rather than into consumers' hands.

### Results

The f.o.b. prices which reflect the average industry experience during 1952-53 may be summarized as follows:

Canned fruit	Choice No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Average of all grades and sizes
	dollars per case	
California cling peaches	5.32	4.84
California apricots	5.68	4.94
Pacific Coast pears	6.49	5.39
California freestone (Elberta) peaches	5.75	--
California fruit cocktail	6.41	5.56

The price of Hawaiian pineapple (sliced, fancy, No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ , f.o.b. San Francisco) averaged \$6.85 per case for 1952-53.

The prices for all of the above canned fruits, except pineapple, averaged less in 1952-53 than in the previous marketing year 1951-52 (see Table 3). The increase of 5 cents per case in the pineapple was due to increased transfer costs from Hawaii to San Francisco; the Honolulu price remained unchanged.

The movement of canned fruit from California canners during 1952-53 is given in detail in the appended tables. But the following summary table indicates the high lights:



The period covered by this report begins with the 1952-53 marketing season and ends with the 1952-53 season. The two years, 1950-51 through 1952-53, are excluded from the analysis because of the abnormal conditions prevailing then such as price controls; 1951-52 was excluded since a large proportion of cannery shipments that year went into refilling the supply pipe line rather than into consumers' hands.

### Results

The f.o.b. prices which reflect the average industry experience during

1952-53 may be summarized as follows:

Canned fruit	Choice No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$	Average of all grades and sizes
California cling peaches	5.35	4.81
California apricots	5.68	4.91
Pacific Coast pears	6.19	5.39
California freestone (Elberta) peaches	5.75	--
California fruit cocktail	6.11	5.56

The price of Hawaiian pineapple (sliced, fancy, No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ , f.o.b. San Francisco)

averaged 6.85 per case for 1952-53.

The prices for all of the above canned fruits, except pineapple, averaged less in 1952-53 than in the previous marketing year 1951-52 (see Table 3). The increase of 5 cents per case in the pineapple was due to increased transfer costs from Hawaii to San Francisco; the Honolulu price remained unchanged. The movement of canned fruit from California canners during 1952-53 is given in detail in the appended tables. But the following summary table indicates the highlights:



Canned fruits	Movement from canners	
	Total	Domestic commercial
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis	
California cling peaches	16,224	14,519
California apricots	3,910	3,196
Pacific Coast pears	6,199	5,704
California freestone peaches	2,519	2,419
California fruit cocktail	8,698	7,179

In addition, it is estimated that some 12,500,000 cases of pineapple moved into trade channels through packers' and importers' shipments for domestic civilian consumption.

In the 1952-53 season, f.o.b. total domestic commercial shipments of the six canned fruits exceeded the figure of any previous year. There was an increase of as much as 5,000,000 cases above the figures for the previous year (see Table 5). All of the canned fruits, except apricots, shared in the increase; and apricot shipments were only slightly under those of 1951-52. The decrease in f.o.b. prices compared with the previous year, along with the higher level attained by national income, contributed to the increased movement.

In addition to providing the basic marketing information summarized above and in the attached tables, this report presents the results of statistical analyses of the major factors which are related to the industry average f.o.b. prices of canned pears. Such major factors include the domestic commercial movement of canned pears from Pacific Coast canneries, the level of national disposable personal income, and the relative level of prices of canned fruits



Canned fruits	Movement from canneries	
	Total	Domestic commercial
	Thousands of cases; 24 No. 2's per case	
California fruit cocktail	8,698	7,179
California freestone peaches	2,519	2,413
Pacific Coast pears	6,199	5,701
California apricots	3,910	3,196
California cling peaches	16,324	14,519

In addition, it is estimated that some 12,500,000 cases of pineapple moved

into trade channels through packers' and importers' shipments for domestic civilian consumption.

In the 1952-53 season, f.o.b. total domestic commercial shipments of the six canned fruits exceeded the figure of any previous year. There was an increase of as much as 2,000,000 cases above the figure for the previous year (see Table 5). All of the canned fruits, except apricots, shared in the increase; and apricot shipments were only slightly under those of 1951-52. The decrease in f.o.b. prices compared with the previous year, along with the higher level attained by national income, contributed to the increased movement.

In addition to providing the basic marketing information summarized above and in the attached tables, this report presents the results of statistical analyses of the major factors which are related to the industry average f.o.b. prices of canned pears. Such major factors include the domestic commercial movement of canned pears from Pacific Coast canneries, the level of national disposable personal income, and the relative level of prices of canned fruits



competing with canned pears. Those price-influencing factors are shown in Table 1 appended to this report. The f.o.b. price relationships may be summarized as follows:

A change of 1,000,000 cases (24 No. 2½ basis) in the commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the opposite direction of about 50 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

An increase of 10 per cent in the index of disposable income, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by an increase of about 45 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

A change of 10 per cent in the adjusted index of prices of competing canned fruits, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the same direction of about 27 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

Differences between the actual f.o.b. prices of Pacific Coast canned pears (average of all grades and sizes) and those explained by the statistical analysis are given in Table 2 of this report.

#### Data

Although the sources of the data on which the report is based are indicated in the footnotes of the various tables, the following supplementary explanations may be noted for the 1952-53 prices, movement data, and other economic information used in the analysis.

The f.o.b. prices of clings and apricots are industry average prices reflecting cannery operations. The f.o.b. price for canned pears also reflects actual



comparing with canned pears. Those price-influencing factors are shown in Table I appended to this report. The f.o.b. price relationships may be summarized as follows:

A change of 1,000,000 cases (24 No. 2½ pails) in the commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the opposite direction of about 50 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

An increase of 10 per cent in the index of disposable income, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by an increase of about 15 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned

A change of 10 per cent in the adjusted index of prices

of competing canned fruits, considered by itself, was on the average accompanied by a change in the same direction of about 27 cents a case in the f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears.

Differences between the actual f.o.b. prices of Pacific Coast canned pears (average of all grades and sizes) and those explained by the statistical analysis are

given in Table 2 of this report.

#### Data

Although the sources of the data on which the report is based are indicated in the footnotes of the various tables, the following supplementary explanations may be noted for the 1922-23 prices, movement data, and other economic indica-

tion used in the analysis.



experience of the canneries, but the pear price reflects operations of canneries in the Pacific Northwest as well as in California. The canned pear price for the Northwest was made available through the Northwest Cannery Association and that for California was made available through the Cannery League of California. The basic price data for canned apricots were also made available through the Cannery League of California. The basic price data for cling peaches and fruit cocktail were made available through the Cling Peach Advisory Board. The price data for freestone peaches and Hawaiian pineapple are based on published quotations supplemented by trade information.

The 1952-53 prices referred to above and used in the analysis are preliminary. This is so because they reflect industry experience for the 11-month period from June 1, 1952, through April 30, 1953. The trend of market developments during the month of May, 1953, however, suggests that the prices used and given in this report for 1952-53 are representative and indicative of the price experience during the 1952-53 marketing year.

The canner movement data for 1952-53 are preliminary since they include estimates for the final months of the marketing year. For cling peaches and fruit cocktail, the May, 1953, movement was estimated to be equal to that of April, 1953, the latter figure being derived from April 1, 1953, canners' stocks compiled and issued by the Cannery League of California and the May 1, 1953, canners' stocks compiled and issued by the Cling Peach Advisory Board. For apricots and freestone peaches, the movement during each of the months, April and May, 1953, was estimated to be equal to the average monthly movement during the period December, 1952, through March, 1953, and was based on the December 1, 1952, and April 1, 1953, canners' stocks figures compiled and issued by the Cannery League of California. For Pacific Coast canned pears, the procedure was the same as for apricots and freestone peaches but with the California movement data supplemented by that of the Northwest as derived from canners'



experience of the growers; but the year price reflects operation of economic

in the Pacific Northwest as well as in California. The current year price for  
the Northwest was made available through the Northwest Growers Association and  
the price for California was made available through the Oling Beach Advisory Board. The price

The basic price data for canned apricots were also made available through the  
Growers League of California. The basic price data for cling peaches and fruit  
cocktail were also available through the Oling Beach Advisory Board. The price  
data for freestone peaches and Hawaiian pineapple are based on published price

information supplied by these organizations.

The 1952-53 prices referred to above and used in the analysis are preliminary. This is so because they reflect industry experience for the 12-month  
period from June 1, 1952 through April 30, 1953. The trend of market develop-  
ments during the month of May, 1953, however, suggests that the prices used and  
given in this report for 1952-53 are representative and indicative of the price

The current movement data for 1952-53 are preliminary since they include  
estimates for the final months of the marketing year. For cling peaches and  
fruit cocktail, the May 1953 movement was estimated to be equal to that of

the period October 1952 through March 1953, and was based on the movement of  
the period October 1952 through March 1953. The movement during each of the months, April  
and May 1953, was estimated to be equal to the average monthly movement during  
the period October 1952 through March 1953. The movement during each of the months, April

1952 and April 1, 1953, estimated stock figures compiled and issued by the  
and the same as for apricots and freestone peaches but with the California  
movement data supplied by that of the Northwest as derived from current



stock figures issued and compiled by the Northwest Cannery Association. The movement data for Hawaiian pineapple were derived from trade sources.

The index of United States disposable personal income is based on reports issued by the U. S. Department of Commerce. In the disposable income figures used for 1952-53 in the analysis, it has been necessary to estimate the value for May 1953 since it has not yet been published; it has been estimated at the level prevailing during the previous month of April 1953. The level of competing canned fruit prices is measured by an index constructed as indicated in Table 4 appended to this report.

National disposable income advanced during the past year; the figure for 1952-53 is estimated at 242 billion dollars compared with 230 billion dollars in the previous year. The general level of prices of canned fruits competing with canned pears declined during the year, the decline being reflected in the competing canned fruit prices index which dropped seven points from 90 in 1951-52 to 83 in 1952-53.



[illegible]

• ፳፻-፳፻፲ ጥቅም ፳፻ ፳



## Technical Note

With price as the dependent variable and the three other variables below considered as the independent variables, the multiple linear regression equation fitted by the method of least squares to the series covering the years, 1924-25 through 1952-53 (excluding 1941-42 through 1947-48), is:

$$X_1 = -27.179708 - \frac{0.000513X_2}{\begin{matrix} (3.131869)^2 \\ [-0.408375] \end{matrix}} + \frac{11.109918 \log_{10} X_3}{\begin{matrix} (9.658511) \\ [-1.790274] \end{matrix}} + \frac{6.618321 \log_{10} X_4}{\begin{matrix} (2.618612) \\ [-0.592289] \end{matrix}}$$

where:

$X_1$  is the annual average f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes) of Pacific Coast canned pears (dollars per case), Table 1, column 1.

$X_2$  is the canners' commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears (in units of 1,000 cases), Table 1, column 2.

$X_3$  is the index of United States disposable personal income (1947-1949 = 100), Table 1, column 3.

$X_4$  is the adjusted index of prices of competing canned fruits (1947-1949 = 100), Table 1, column 4.

The figures in parentheses are t-ratios of the net regression coefficients.

The figures in brackets are beta coefficients.

The adjusted coefficient of multiple correlation is

$$\bar{R}_{X_1.X_2.\log_{10} X_3.\log_{10} X_4} = 0.966$$



considered as the independent variables, the multiple linear regression equation

is written as follows:

where  $Y$  is the dependent variable and  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are the independent variables.

$$Y = a_0 + a_1 X_1 + a_2 X_2 + \dots + a_n X_n + e$$

where:

$Y$  is the annual average f.o.b. price (average of all grades and sizes)

$X_1$  is the average of all grades and sizes of the fruit in the year

$X_2$  is the average of all grades and sizes of the fruit in the year

pears (in units of 1,000 cases), Table 1, column 2.

$X_3$  is the average of all grades and sizes of the fruit in the year

1901, Table 1, column 3.

$X_4$  is the adjusted index of prices of competing canned fruits 1907-1919 =

100, Table 1, column 4.

$a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are the regression coefficients to be determined.

$e$  is the error term.

The method of least squares is used to determine the values of  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ .

TABLE 1



TABLE O

## Canners' On Hand, June 1, 1953, and Movement in 1952-53

Factors of supply and movement	California cling peaches	California apricots	Pacific Coast pears	California freestone peaches	California fruit cocktail
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ basis				
Canners' on hand, June 1, 1952	3,418	614	1,575	374	2,335
Pack, in 1952	14,964	3,905	6,003	2,670	7,489
Total supply available for 1952-53	18,382	4,519	7,578	3,044	9,824
Canners' on hand, December 1, 1952	7,730	1,944	4,269	1,473	5,216
Canners' on hand, April 1, 1953	4,207	1,054	2,342	841	2,619
Canners' on hand, May 1, 1953	3,183				1,873
Canners' movement, December 1, 1952-April 1, 1953	3,523	890	1,927	632	2,597
Average monthly movement, December 1, 1952-April 1, 1953		222	482	158	
Estimated canners' movement, April 1, 1953-June 1, 1953		444	964	316	
Canners' movement, April 1, 1953-May 1, 1953	1,024				746
Estimated canners' movement, May 1, 1953-June 1, 1953	1,024				746
Estimated total movement, June 1, 1952-June 1, 1953	16,224	3,910	6,199	2,519	8,698
Estimated canners' on hand, June 1, 1953	2,158	609	1,378	525	1,126
United States government purchases:					
Quartermaster	960	603	355	100	412
School lunch	206				
Estimated total commercial movement, 1952-53	15,058	3,307	5,844	2,419	8,286
Exports, 1952-53	539	111	140		1,107
Estimated domestic commercial movement, 1952-53	14,519	3,196	5,704	2,419	7,179







TABLE 1

F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears and Related  
Economic Variables, from 1926-27

Marketing year, June through May	F.o.b. price of Pacific Coast canned pears (average all grades and sizes)	Commercial domestic movement of Pacific Coast canned pears	Index of United States disposable income	Index of com- peting canned fruit prices
	1	2	3	4
	dollars per case	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis	1947-1949 = 100	
1926-27	4.31	1,957	38.3	201.9
1927-28	4.60	1,637	38.6	176.3
1928-29	4.13	2,170	40.1	172.4
1929-30	4.82	2,383	41.7	195.4
1930-31	3.53	2,617	36.5	174.9
1931-32	2.82	1,990	29.6	175.3
1932-33	2.48	2,200	24.8	182.6
1933-34	2.64	2,767	25.8	208.1
1934-35	3.05	2,984	29.2	199.8
1935-36	2.92	2,670	33.1	168.2
1936-37	2.92	3,997	36.6	156.6
1937-38	3.07	2,681	36.4	171.2
1938-39	2.77	3,114	35.8	144.3
1939-40	3.27	2,768	38.7	145.2
(War years)				
1948-49	7.37	3,660	101.7	104.5
1949-50	5.15	5,613	102.8	88.9
1950-51	6.90	4,815	115.5	92.8
1951-52	6.40	4,348	122.6	89.5
1952-53	5.39	5,704	129.2	83.0

## Sources:

Col. 1: Table 3, column 3.

Col. 2: Table 5, column 3.

Col. 3: Table 4, column 4.

Col. 4: For sources and methods of construction, see Table 4.

Table 1. Summary of the results of the analysis of variance for the different factors studied. The values are the mean squares and the degrees of freedom (D.F.) for each factor.

Factor	Mean Square	D.F.	F-value	Significance
Reproduction	12.5	1	12.5	0.01
Sex	8.5	1	8.5	0.01
Age	5.5	1	5.5	0.05
Weight	3.5	1	3.5	0.10
Length	2.5	1	2.5	0.15
Width	1.5	1	1.5	0.25
Depth	1.0	1	1.0	0.35
Volume	0.5	1	0.5	0.50
Surface area	0.2	1	0.2	0.75
Perimeter	0.1	1	0.1	0.90
Shape	0.05	1	0.05	0.95
Color	0.02	1	0.02	0.99
Texture	0.01	1	0.01	1.00
Smell	0.005	1	0.005	1.00
Taste	0.002	1	0.002	1.00
Sound	0.001	1	0.001	1.00
Touch	0.0005	1	0.0005	1.00
Weight	0.0002	1	0.0002	1.00
Length	0.0001	1	0.0001	1.00
Width	0.00005	1	0.00005	1.00
Depth	0.00002	1	0.00002	1.00
Volume	0.00001	1	0.00001	1.00
Surface area	0.000005	1	0.000005	1.00
Perimeter	0.000002	1	0.000002	1.00
Shape	0.000001	1	0.000001	1.00
Color	0.0000005	1	0.0000005	1.00
Texture	0.0000002	1	0.0000002	1.00
Smell	0.0000001	1	0.0000001	1.00
Taste	0.00000005	1	0.00000005	1.00
Sound	0.00000002	1	0.00000002	1.00
Touch	0.00000001	1	0.00000001	1.00
Weight	0.000000005	1	0.000000005	1.00
Length	0.000000002	1	0.000000002	1.00
Width	0.000000001	1	0.000000001	1.00
Depth	0.0000000005	1	0.0000000005	1.00
Volume	0.0000000002	1	0.0000000002	1.00
Surface area	0.0000000001	1	0.0000000001	1.00
Perimeter	0.00000000005	1	0.00000000005	1.00
Shape	0.00000000002	1	0.00000000002	1.00
Color	0.00000000001	1	0.00000000001	1.00
Texture	0.000000000005	1	0.000000000005	1.00
Smell	0.000000000002	1	0.000000000002	1.00
Taste	0.000000000001	1	0.000000000001	1.00
Sound	0.0000000000005	1	0.0000000000005	1.00
Touch	0.0000000000002	1	0.0000000000002	1.00
Weight	0.0000000000001	1	0.0000000000001	1.00
Length	0.00000000000005	1	0.00000000000005	1.00
Width	0.00000000000002	1	0.00000000000002	1.00
Depth	0.00000000000001	1	0.00000000000001	1.00
Volume	0.000000000000005	1	0.000000000000005	1.00
Surface area	0.000000000000002	1	0.000000000000002	1.00
Perimeter	0.000000000000001	1	0.000000000000001	1.00
Shape	0.0000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000005	1.00
Color	0.0000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000002	1.00
Texture	0.0000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000001	1.00
Smell	0.00000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000005	1.00
Taste	0.00000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000002	1.00
Sound	0.00000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000001	1.00
Touch	0.000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000005	1.00
Weight	0.000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000002	1.00
Length	0.000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000001	1.00
Width	0.0000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000005	1.00
Depth	0.0000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000002	1.00
Volume	0.0000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000001	1.00
Surface area	0.00000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000005	1.00
Perimeter	0.00000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000002	1.00
Shape	0.00000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000001	1.00
Color	0.000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000005	1.00
Texture	0.000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000002	1.00
Smell	0.000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000001	1.00
Taste	0.0000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000005	1.00
Sound	0.0000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000002	1.00
Touch	0.0000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000001	1.00
Weight	0.00000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000005	1.00
Length	0.00000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000002	1.00
Width	0.00000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000001	1.00
Depth	0.000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000005	1.00
Volume	0.000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000002	1.00
Surface area	0.000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000001	1.00
Perimeter	0.0000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000005	1.00
Shape	0.0000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000002	1.00
Color	0.0000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000001	1.00
Texture	0.00000000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000000005	1.00
Smell	0.00000000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000000002	1.00
Taste	0.00000000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000000001	1.00
Sound	0.000000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Touch	0.000000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Weight	0.000000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Length	0.0000000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Width	0.0000000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Depth	0.0000000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Volume	0.00000000000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Surface area	0.00000000000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Perimeter	0.00000000000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Shape	0.000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Color	0.000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Texture	0.000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Smell	0.0000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Taste	0.0000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Sound	0.0000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Touch	0.00000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Weight	0.00000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Length	0.00000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Width	0.000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Depth	0.000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Volume	0.000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Surface area	0.0000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Perimeter	0.0000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Shape	0.0000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Color	0.00000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Texture	0.00000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Smell	0.00000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Taste	0.000000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Sound	0.000000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Touch	0.000000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Weight	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Length	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Width	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Depth	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Volume	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Surface area	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Perimeter	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Shape	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Color	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Texture	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000005	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000005	1.00
Smell	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000002	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000002	1.00
Taste	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000001	1	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000001	1.00
Sound	0.005	1	0.005	1.00
Touch	0.002	1	0.002	1.00
Weight	0.001	1	0.001	1.00
Length	0.0005	1	0.0005	1.00
Width	0.0002	1	0.0002	1.00
Depth	0.0001	1	0.0001	1.00
Volume	0.005	1	0.005	1.00
Surface area	0.002	1	0.002	1.00
Perimeter	0.001	1	0.001	1.00
Shape	0.0005	1	0.0005	1.00
Color	0.0002	1	0.0002	1.00
Texture	0.0001	1	0.0001	1.00
Smell	0.005	1	0.005	1.00
Taste	0.002	1	0.002	1.00
Sound	0.001	1	0.001	1.00
Touch	0.0005	1	0.0005	1.



TABLE 2

Actual and Estimated F.O.B. Prices of Pacific Coast Canned Pears,  
Average All Grades and Sizes, from 1926-27

Marketing year, June through May	Actual price	Estimated price	Difference: column 1 minus column 2	Column 3 as per cent of column 1
	1	2	3	4
	dollars per case			per cent
1926-27	4.31	4.66	-.35	- 8.1
1927-28	4.60	4.47	.13	2.8
1928-29	4.13	4.32	-.19	- 4.6
1929-30	4.82	4.76	.06	1.2
1930-31	3.53	3.68	-.15	- 4.2
1931-32	2.82	3.00	-.18	- 6.4
1932-33	2.48	2.15	.33	13.3
1933-34	2.64	2.43	.21	8.0
1934-35	3.05	2.80	.25	8.2
1935-36	2.92	3.07	-.15	- 5.1
1936-37	2.92	2.67	.25	8.6
1937-38	3.07	3.54	.50	-16.3
1938-39	2.77	2.78	-.01	- .4
1939-40	3.27	3.35	-.08	- 2.4
(War years)				
1948-49	7.37	6.61	.76	10.3
1949-50	5.15	5.19	-.04	- .8
1950-51	6.90	6.29	.61	8.8
1951-52	6.40	6.71	-.31	- 4.8
1952-53	5.39	6.05	-.66	-12.2

## Sources:

Col. 1: From Table 3, column 3.

Col. 2: Estimated by use of data in Table 1 applied to equation on page 7.





TABLE 3

F.O.B. Prices of Canned Fruits, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	California cling peaches (choice No. 2½)	California apricots	Pacific Coast pears	California freestone peaches (Elberta choice No. 2½)	California fruit cocktail (choice No. 2½)	Hawaiian pineapple (sliced fancy 2½ f.o.b. San Francisco)	
	1	Average all grades and sizes	2	3	4	5	6
	dollars per case						
1924-25	4.72	3.91	5.40			5.20	
1925-26	4.23	3.72	5.44			4.30	
1926-27	4.10	3.85	4.31			4.70	
1927-28	3.45	3.97	4.60			4.20	
1928-29	3.50	3.67	4.13			4.40	
1929-30	4.57	3.97	4.82			4.70	
1930-31	3.20	3.32	3.53			4.00	
1931-32	2.80	2.64	2.82			3.00	
1932-33	2.15	2.23	2.48			3.10	
1933-34	2.49	2.37	2.64			3.60	
1934-35	2.88	3.47	3.05			3.60	
1935-36	2.66	2.93	2.92			3.60	
1936-37	2.79	2.75	2.92		3.90	3.60	
1937-38	3.11	3.02	3.07		4.00	3.80	
1938-39	2.44	2.55	2.77		3.40	3.40	
1939-40	2.56	2.77	3.27		3.75	3.60	
1940-41	2.43	3.23	3.06	3.20	3.35	3.60	
(War years)							
1947-48	4.78	5.20	7.07	5.60	6.90	6.10	
1948-49	5.10	4.55	7.37	6.10	6.65	6.80	
1949-50	4.07	4.11	5.15	5.08	5.70	6.40	
1950-51	5.17	4.83	6.90	6.10	6.65	6.80	
1951-52	5.53	5.29	6.40	6.20	6.68	6.80	
1952-53a/	5.32	4.94	5.39	5.75	6.41	6.85	

a/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

## Sources:

Cols. 1 and 5: Based on data compiled by the Cling Peach Advisory Board.

Col. 2: Based on data compiled by Cannery League of California.

Col. 3: Based on data compiled by Cannery League of California and Northwest Cannery Association.

Cols. 4 and 6: Based on data published in weekly issues, California Fruit News, supplemented by trade information.

F.O.B. Prices of Canned Fruits, from 1934-35

W. Preliminary; subject to revision.

Notes 1 and 2: Based on data compiled by the Oiling Beach Advisory Board.

Based on data supplied by Cannery League of California.

West Canyon Association

...nottamola di sbait yù bednomalette .



TABLE 4

Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fruits Competing  
with Pacific Coast Canned Pears, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Weighted average prices of competing canned fruits		United States disposable income		Adjusted index of competing canned fruit prices 1947-1949=100
	Dollars per case	Relatives, 1947-1949=100	Billions of dollars	Index 1947-1949=100	
	1	2	3	4	
1924-25	4.8934	87.63	64.6	34.5	254.0
1925-26	4.2033	75.27	70.1	37.4	201.3
1926-27	4.3185	77.34	71.7	38.3	201.9
1927-28	3.7991	68.04	72.3	38.6	176.3
1928-29	3.8607	69.14	75.1	40.1	172.4
1929-30	4.5492	81.47	78.1	41.7	195.4
1930-31	3.5652	63.85	68.4	36.5	174.9
1931-32	2.8976	51.89	55.4	29.6	175.3
1932-33	2.5285	45.28	46.5	24.8	182.6
1933-34	2.9985	53.70	48.4	25.8	208.1
1934-35	3.2571	58.33	54.8	29.2	199.8
1935-36	3.1100	55.69	62.1	33.1	168.2
1936-37	3.2001	57.31	68.6	36.6	156.6
1937-38	3.4791	62.30	68.3	36.4	171.2
1938-39	2.8841	51.65	67.1	35.8	144.3
1939-40	3.1374	56.19	72.6	38.7	145.2
1940-41	3.0902	55.34	82.0	43.8	126.3
(War years)					
1947-48	5.7124	102.30	179.0	95.5	107.1
1948-49	5.9335	106.26	190.5	101.7	104.5
1949-50	5.1060	91.44	192.7	102.8	88.9
1950-51	5.9872	107.22	216.4	115.5	92.8
1951-52	6.1275	109.73	229.7	122.6	89.5
1952-53 <sup>a/</sup>	5.9859	107.20	242.1	129.2	83.0

a/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

Sources:

Col. 1: Canned fruit (other than pears) prices weighted by their corresponding shipments. F.o.b. prices (other than pears) given in Table 3 weighted by corresponding domestic shipments given in Table 5.

Col. 2: Figures in column 1 expressed as percentages of their 1947-1949 average.

Col. 3: Based on income data reported by U. S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Current Business. From 1924-25 to 1928-29, U. S. Nonagricultural Income; from 1929-30 to 1951-52, U. S. Disposable Personal Income.

Col. 4: Figures in column 3 expressed as percentages of their 1947-1949 average.

Col. 5: Column 2 as per cent of column 4.

TABLE I  
Construction of Adjusted Index of Prices of Canned Fruits Comparing  
the 1917-1919 Average with the 1947-1949 Average

Year	Index of Prices of Canned Fruits		Index of Prices of Canned Fruits		Year
	1917-1919 Average	1947-1949 Average	1917-1919 Average	1947-1949 Average	
1917	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1947
1918	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1948
1919	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1949
1920	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1950
1921	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1951
1922	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1952
1923	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1953
1924	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1954
1925	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1955
1926	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1956
1927	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1957
1928	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1958
1929	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1959
1930	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1960
1931	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1961
1932	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1962
1933	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1963
1934	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1964
1935	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1965
1936	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1966
1937	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1967
1938	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1968
1939	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1969
1940	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1970
1941	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1971
1942	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1972
1943	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1973
1944	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1974
1945	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1975
1946	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1976
1947	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1977
1948	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1978
1949	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1979
1950	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1980
1951	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1981
1952	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1982
1953	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1983
1954	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1984
1955	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1985
1956	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1986
1957	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1987
1958	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1988
1959	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1989
1960	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1990
1961	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1991
1962	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1992
1963	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1993
1964	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1994
1965	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1995
1966	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1996
1967	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1997
1968	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1998
1969	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	1999
1970	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2000
1971	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2001
1972	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2002
1973	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2003
1974	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2004
1975	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2005
1976	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2006
1977	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2007
1978	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2008
1979	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2009
1980	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2010
1981	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2011
1982	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2012
1983	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2013
1984	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2014
1985	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2015
1986	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2016
1987	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2017
1988	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2018
1989	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2019
1990	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2020
1991	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2021
1992	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2022
1993	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2023
1994	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2024
1995	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2025
1996	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2026
1997	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2027
1998	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2028
1999	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2029
2000	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	2030

1/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

Col. 1: Figures in column 1 expressed as percentages of their 1917-1919 average.  
Col. 2: Based on income data recorded by U. S. Department of Commerce, Survey of Shipments, T.O.P. prices (other than beans) given in Table 1 weighted by corresponding domestic shipments given in Table 2.  
Col. 3: Figures in column 3 expressed as percentages of their 1917-1919 average.  
Col. 4: Column 4 as per cent of column 1.



TABLE 5

Canners' Commercial Domestic Shipments of Canned Fruits, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Cali- fornia cling peaches	Cali- fornia apricots	Pacific Coast pears	California freestone peaches	Calif- ornia fruit cocktail	Pine- apple	Total	Total, excluding California cling peaches
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis							
1924-25	4,607	1,235	1,014			6,611	13,467	8,860
1925-26	7,484	1,755	1,293			6,611	17,143	9,659
1926-27	8,599	2,038	1,957			7,465	20,059	11,460
1927-28	10,867	1,779	1,637			8,603	22,886	12,019
1928-29	10,490	2,195	2,170			7,876	22,731	12,241
1929-30	7,483	2,259	2,383			7,616	19,741	12,258
1930-31	9,257	2,183	2,617			8,994	23,051	13,794
1931-32	5,976	1,541	1,990			9,525	19,032	13,056
1932-33	8,148	1,521	2,200			6,270	18,139	9,991
1933-34	7,415	2,034	2,767			8,327	20,543	13,128
1934-35	7,685	1,477	2,984			7,500	19,646	11,961
1935-36	8,452	1,951	2,670			8,600	21,673	13,221
1936-37	9,358	2,992	3,997		1,450	10,400	28,197	18,839
1937-38	6,854	2,901	2,681		1,589	9,100	23,125	16,271
1938-39	10,127	2,562	3,114		2,070	8,200	26,073	15,946
1939-40	8,673	2,640	2,768		2,151	10,100	26,332	17,659
1940-41	11,433	2,012	4,150	1,233	4,448	11,500	34,776	23,343
(War years)								
1947-48	13,843	2,415	4,866	1,291	8,770	10,100	41,285	27,442
1948-49	12,382	3,528	3,660	1,690	6,732	11,500	39,492	27,110
1949-50	15,849	3,072	5,613	1,493	7,021	11,900	44,948	29,099
1950-51	14,287	3,566	4,815	1,896	8,003	12,400	44,967	30,680
1951-52	13,648	3,410	4,348	2,271	5,499	11,500	40,676	27,028
1952-53 <sup>a</sup>	14,519	3,196	5,704	2,419	7,179	12,500	45,517	30,998

<sup>a</sup>/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

## Sources:

Col. 1: Table 6, column 7.

Col. 2: Table 7, column 7.

Col. 3: Table 8, column 7.

Col. 4: Table 9, column 5.

Col. 5: Table 10, column 8.

Col. 6: Based on data compiled by Pineapple Growers Association of Hawaii and U. S. Department of Commerce, supplemented by movement data from trade sources.

Col. 7: Sum of columns 1 through 6.

Col. 8: Column 7 minus column 1.





TABLE 6

California Canned Cling Peaches, Cannery's Pack,  
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Cannery's stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Cannery's stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from cannery's hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis						
1924-25		1,391		709			4,607
1925-26		709		449			7,484
1926-27		449		3,730			8,599
1927-28	10,499	3,730	14,229	1,322	12,907	2,040	10,867
1928-29	14,439	1,322	15,761	3,109	12,652	2,162	10,490
1929-30	7,724	3,109	10,833	1,629	9,204	1,721	7,483
1930-31	13,174	1,629	14,803	3,922	10,881	1,624	9,257
1931-32	8,349	3,922	12,271	4,826	7,445	1,469	5,976
1932-33	6,414	4,826	11,240	1,359	9,881	1,733	8,148
1933-34	10,244	1,359	11,603	2,389	9,214	1,799	7,415
1934-35	8,258	2,389	10,647	1,836	8,811	1,126	7,685
1935-36	10,850	1,836	12,686	1,929	10,757	2,305	8,452
1936-37	10,236	1,929	12,165	1,498	10,667	1,309	9,358
1937-38	12,205	1,498	13,703	5,578	8,125	1,271	6,854
1938-39	9,446	5,578	15,024	2,737	12,287	2,160	10,127
1939-40	10,579	2,737	13,316	2,690	10,626	1,953	8,673
1940-41	9,608	2,690	12,299	779	11,520	87	11,433
(War years)							
1947-48	15,309	456	15,765	1,247	14,518	675	13,843
1948-49	14,650	1,247	15,897	3,061	12,836	454	12,382
1949-50	16,525	3,061	19,585	2,058	16,332 <sup>a/</sup>	483	15,849
1950-51	14,417	2,058	16,475	531	14,771 <sup>b/</sup>	484	14,287
1951-52	19,145	531	19,676	3,418	14,078 <sup>c/</sup>	430	13,648
1952-53 <sup>d/</sup>	14,964	3,418	18,382	2,158	15,058 <sup>e/</sup>	539	14,519

<sup>a/</sup> Excludes government school lunch purchases of 865,000 cases in June, 1949-March, 1950, and an additional 331,000 cases in April, 1950. If school lunch purchases were included, total movement would be 17,528,219 cases.

<sup>b/</sup> Excludes government purchases of 1,172,766 cases. If government purchases were included, total movement would be 15,943,675 cases.

<sup>c/</sup> Excludes government purchases of 2,180,000 cases (1,734,000 quartermaster and 446,000 school lunch). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 16,258,400 cases.

<sup>d/</sup> Preliminary; subject to revision.

(Continued on next page.)

TABLE 6  
 Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1949-50  
 to 1952-53

Period	Carry-over	Shipments	Exports	Total	Carry-over	Shipments	Exports	Total
1949-50	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000
1950-51	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000
1951-52	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000
1952-53	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	3,000

a) Excludes Government school lunch purchases of 865,600 cases in June, 1950-March, 1950, and an additional 331,000 cases in April, 1950. If school lunch purchases were included, total movement would be 17,525,219 cases.

b) Excludes Government purchases of 1,175,766 cases. If Government purchases were included, total movement would be 15,915,675 cases.

c) Excludes Government purchases of 1,175,766 cases. If Government purchases were included, total movement would be 15,915,675 cases.

d) Excludes Government purchases of 1,175,766 cases. If Government purchases were included, total movement would be 15,915,675 cases.



Table 6 continued.

e/ Excludes government purchases of 1,166,000 cases (960,000 quartermaster and 206,000 school lunch). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 16,224,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Cannery League of California and Cling Peach Advisory Board.

Col. 3: Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5: Column 3 minus column 4.

Col. 6: U. S. Department of Commerce, March-May, 1953, estimated.  
(All exports considered to be clings since no breakdown between clings and frees available.)

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1926-27 from Hoos, Sidney, and Irving Dubov. Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices, Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

of the Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, is hereby notified that the following land is being offered for sale:

Section	Description of Land
1	Section 1, Township 1, Range 1, containing 36 acres.
2	Section 2, Township 1, Range 1, containing 36 acres.
3	U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Fisheries, containing 100 acres, (all except corner) to the State of California, between Clatsop and Lewis and Clark Rivers.
4	Section 4, Township 1, Range 1, containing 36 acres, (all except corner) to the State of California, between Clatsop and Lewis and Clark Rivers.



TABLE 7

California Canned Apricots, Cannery Pack, Carry-Over,  
Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Cannery stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Cannery stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from cannery hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis							
1924-25		298		315			1,235
1925-26		315		21			1,755
1926-27	3,227	21	3,248	401	2,847	809	2,038
1927-28	2,960	401	3,361	952	2,409	630	1,779
1928-29	1,991	952	2,943	154	2,789	594	2,195
1929-30	4,023	154	4,177	1,189	2,988	729	2,259
1930-31	1,954	1,189	3,143	546	2,597	414	2,183
1931-32	2,006	546	2,552	515	2,037	496	1,541
1932-33	1,805	515	2,320	323	1,997	476	1,521
1933-34	2,416	323	2,739	167	2,572	538	2,034
1934-35	1,774	167	1,941	227	1,714	237	1,477
1935-36	3,164	227	3,391	844	2,547	596	1,951
1936-37	2,899	844	3,743	228	3,515	523	2,992
1937-38	5,553	228	5,781	2,305	3,476	575	2,901
1938-39	1,547	2,305	3,852	528	3,324	762	2,562
1939-40	3,338	528	3,866	479	3,387	747	2,640
1940-41							2,012
(War years)							
1947-48	3,063	279	3,342	639	2,703	288	2,415
1948-49	4,651	639	5,290	1,508	3,782	254	3,528
1949-50	2,307	1,508	3,815	532	3,283	211	3,072
1950-51	3,661	532	4,193	115	4,078	135	3,566a/
1951-52	4,538	115	4,653	614	4,039	133	3,410b/
1952-53c/	3,905	614	4,519	609	3,910	111	3,196d/

a/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 375,564 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be 3,942,072 cases.

b/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 496,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be 3,906,000 cases.

c/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

d/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 603,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic shipments would be 3,799,000 cases.

(Continued on next page.)

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

... ..



Table 7 continued.

Sources:

Cols. 1 through 5: From reports issued by Cannery League of California.

Col. 6: U. S. Department of Commerce; March-May, 1953, estimated.

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1940-41 from Hoos, Sidney, and Irving Dubov, Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices. Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...  
...the ... of ...



TABLE 8

Pacific Coast Canned Pears, Cannery Pack, Carry-Over,  
Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Cannery stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Cannery stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from cannery hands	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis						
1924-25		142		51			1,014
1925-26		51		507			1,293
1926-27	3,260	507	3,767	401	3,366	1,409	1,957
1927-28	2,639	401	3,040	167	2,873	1,236	1,637
1928-29	4,116	167	4,283	292	3,991	1,821	2,170
1929-30	4,206	292	4,498	952	3,546	1,163	2,383
1930-31	4,153	952	5,105	893	4,212	1,595	2,617
1931-32	3,635	893	4,528	870	3,658	1,668	1,990
1932-33	3,117	870	3,987	429	3,558	1,358	2,200
1933-34	4,377	429	4,806	273	4,533	1,766	2,767
1934-35	5,505	273	5,778	1,291	4,487	1,503	2,984
1935-36	4,230	1,291	5,521	957	4,564	1,894	2,670
1936-37	5,355	957	6,312	850	5,462	1,465	3,997
1937-38	4,321	850	5,171	1,150	4,021	1,340	2,681
1938-39	4,090	1,150	5,240	400	4,840	1,726	3,114
1939-40	4,057	400	4,457	280	4,177	1,409	2,768
1940-41							4,150
(War years)							
1947-48	5,622	200	5,822	726	5,096	230	4,866
1948-49	3,831	726	4,557	761	3,796	136	3,660
1949-50	5,459	761	6,220	448	5,772	159	5,613
1950-51	6,048	448	6,496	566	5,930	215	4,815 <sup>a/</sup>
1951-52	6,215	566	6,781	1,575	5,206	95	4,348 <sup>a/</sup>
1952-53 <sup>b/</sup>	6,003	1,575	7,578	1,378	6,199	140	5,704 <sup>a/</sup>

<sup>a/</sup> Excludes 900,549 cases in 1950-51, 763,000 cases in 1951-52, and 355,000 cases in 1952-53 purchased by U. S. Defense Department (Quartermaster Corps). If quartermaster purchases were included, domestic shipments would amount to 5,715,000 cases in 1950-51, 5,111,000 cases in 1951-52, and 6,059,000 cases in 1952-53.

<sup>b/</sup> Preliminary; subject to revision.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Cannery League of California and Northwest Cannery Association.

(Continued on next page.)

Summary of the results of the investigation of the cases of the disease in the United States, 1950-1954, and the results of the investigation of the cases of the disease in the United States, 1955-1959.

Year	Number of cases	Number of deaths	Number of cases by age group	Number of cases by sex	Number of cases by race	Number of cases by occupation	Number of cases by education
1950	1,000	100	500	500	500	500	500
1951	1,200	120	600	600	600	600	600
1952	1,400	140	700	700	700	700	700
1953	1,600	160	800	800	800	800	800
1954	1,800	180	900	900	900	900	900
1955	2,000	200	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
1956	2,200	220	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100	1,100
1957	2,400	240	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
1958	2,600	260	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300
1959	2,800	280	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400

The following table shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the disease in the United States, 1950-1954, and the results of the investigation of the cases of the disease in the United States, 1955-1959. The table shows the number of cases, the number of deaths, and the number of cases by age group, sex, race, occupation, and education. The results show that the number of cases and deaths has increased steadily over the period 1950-1959. The number of cases by age group, sex, race, occupation, and education is also shown. The results show that the disease is more common in older age groups, in males, in whites, in those with higher education, and in those with higher occupation.



Table 8 continued.

Col. 3: Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5: Column 3 minus column 4.

Col. 7: Column 5 minus column 6. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1940-41 from Hoos, Sidney, and Irving Dubov, Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices, Gianini Foundation Report, October, 1949.

... of the ...  
... of the ...  
... of the ...

... of the ...  
... of the ...  
... of the ...



TABLE 9

California Canned Freestone Peaches, Cannery Pack,  
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1924-25

Marketing year, June through May	Pack	Cannery stocks on hand at be- ginning of year	Total supply	Cannery stocks on hand at end of year	Total movement from cannery hands <sup>a/</sup>
	1	2	3	4	5
	thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ basis				
1924-25					1,030
1925-26					1,027
1926-27					447
1927-28	314	176	490	193	296
1928-29	157	193	350	40	310
1929-30	376	40	416	54	362
1930-31	120	54	174	29	145
1931-32	72	29	101	19	82
1932-33	23	19	42	2	40
1933-34	65	2	67	2	65
1934-35	340	2	342	21	321
1935-36	365	21	386	112	274
1936-37	475	112	587	69	518
1937-38	1,043	69	1,112	435	677
1938-39	376	435	811	269	542
1939-40	882	269	1,151	273	878
1940-41	1,134	273	1,407	174	1,233
(War years)					
1947-48	1,497	41	1,538	248	1,291
1948-49	1,708	248	1,952	265	1,690
1949-50	1,499	265	1,764	271	1,493
1950-51	1,677	271	1,948	52	1,896
1951-52	2,793	52	2,845	374	2,271 <sup>b/</sup>
1952-53 <sup>c/</sup>	2,670	374	3,044	525	2,419 <sup>d/</sup>

- <sup>a/</sup> No exports of canned freestone peaches are reflected; exports of all canned peaches are considered as clings since no breakdown between exports of clings and frees is available.
- <sup>b/</sup> Excludes United States government purchases of 200,000 cases (quarter-master). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 2,471,000 cases.
- <sup>c/</sup> Preliminary; subject to revision.
- <sup>d/</sup> Excludes United States government purchases of 100,000 cases (quarter-master). If government purchases were included, total movement would be 2,519,000 cases.

(Continued on next page.)

# TABLE 4

Summary of the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries.

Name	Date of arrest	Date of deportation	Country of origin	Date of arrival in United States	Date of departure from United States	Duration of stay in United States (in years)
John Doe	1910	1911	Italy	1910	1911	1
Jane Smith	1912	1913	Germany	1912	1913	1
Robert Brown	1914	1915	France	1914	1915	1
William White	1916	1917	Canada	1916	1917	1
Charles Black	1918	1919	Sweden	1918	1919	1
Elizabeth Green	1920	1921	Denmark	1920	1921	1
Thomas Grey	1922	1923	Norway	1922	1923	1
Anna Hall	1924	1925	Finland	1924	1925	1
James King	1926	1927	Poland	1926	1927	1
Mary Lee	1928	1929	Czechoslovakia	1928	1929	1
George Miller	1930	1931	Slovakia	1930	1931	1
William Moore	1932	1933	Hungary	1932	1933	1
Elizabeth Nelson	1934	1935	Romania	1934	1935	1
Thomas Owen	1936	1937	Bulgaria	1936	1937	1
Anna Parker	1938	1939	Serbia	1938	1939	1
James Quinn	1940	1941	Croatia	1940	1941	1
Mary Reed	1942	1943	Slovenia	1942	1943	1
George Scott	1944	1945	Czech Republic	1944	1945	1
William Taylor	1946	1947	Slovak Republic	1946	1947	1
Elizabeth Vance	1948	1949	Hungary	1948	1949	1
Thomas Wells	1950	1951	Romania	1950	1951	1
Anna Young	1952	1953	Bulgaria	1952	1953	1
James Ziegler	1954	1955	Serbia	1954	1955	1
Mary Adams	1956	1957	Croatia	1956	1957	1
George Baker	1958	1959	Slovenia	1958	1959	1
William Carter	1960	1961	Czech Republic	1960	1961	1
Elizabeth Evans	1962	1963	Slovak Republic	1962	1963	1
Thomas Fisher	1964	1965	Hungary	1964	1965	1
Anna Gibson	1966	1967	Romania	1966	1967	1
James Harrison	1968	1969	Bulgaria	1968	1969	1
Mary Howell	1970	1971	Serbia	1970	1971	1
George Ingram	1972	1973	Croatia	1972	1973	1
William Jackson	1974	1975	Slovenia	1974	1975	1
Elizabeth Johnson	1976	1977	Czech Republic	1976	1977	1
Thomas Kelly	1978	1979	Slovak Republic	1978	1979	1
Anna Lewis	1980	1981	Hungary	1980	1981	1
James Martin	1982	1983	Romania	1982	1983	1
Mary Mitchell	1984	1985	Bulgaria	1984	1985	1
George Nelson	1986	1987	Serbia	1986	1987	1
William Olsen	1988	1989	Croatia	1988	1989	1
Elizabeth Parker	1990	1991	Slovenia	1990	1991	1
Thomas Quinn	1992	1993	Czech Republic	1992	1993	1
Anna Reed	1994	1995	Slovak Republic	1994	1995	1
James Scott	1996	1997	Hungary	1996	1997	1
Mary Taylor	1998	1999	Romania	1998	1999	1
George Vance	2000	2001	Bulgaria	2000	2001	1
William Wells	2002	2003	Serbia	2002	2003	1
Elizabeth White	2004	2005	Croatia	2004	2005	1
Thomas Young	2006	2007	Slovenia	2006	2007	1
Anna Ziegler	2008	2009	Czech Republic	2008	2009	1
James Adams	2010	2011	Slovak Republic	2010	2011	1
Mary Baker	2012	2013	Hungary	2012	2013	1
George Carter	2014	2015	Romania	2014	2015	1
William Evans	2016	2017	Bulgaria	2016	2017	1
Elizabeth Fisher	2018	2019	Serbia	2018	2019	1
Thomas Gibson	2020	2021	Croatia	2020	2021	1
Anna Hall	2022	2023	Slovenia	2022	2023	1
James King	2024	2025	Czech Republic	2024	2025	1
Mary Lee	2026	2027	Slovak Republic	2026	2027	1
George Miller	2028	2029	Hungary	2028	2029	1
William Moore	2030	2031	Romania	2030	2031	1
Elizabeth Nelson	2032	2033	Bulgaria	2032	2033	1
Thomas Owen	2034	2035	Serbia	2034	2035	1
Anna Parker	2036	2037	Croatia	2036	2037	1
James Quinn	2038	2039	Slovenia	2038	2039	1
Mary Reed	2040	2041	Czech Republic	2040	2041	1
George Scott	2042	2043	Slovak Republic	2042	2043	1
William Taylor	2044	2045	Hungary	2044	2045	1
Elizabeth Vance	2046	2047	Romania	2046	2047	1
Thomas Wells	2048	2049	Bulgaria	2048	2049	1
Anna Young	2050	2051	Serbia	2050	2051	1
James Ziegler	2052	2053	Croatia	2052	2053	1
Mary Adams	2054	2055	Slovenia	2054	2055	1
George Baker	2056	2057	Czech Republic	2056	2057	1
William Carter	2058	2059	Slovak Republic	2058	2059	1
Elizabeth Evans	2060	2061	Hungary	2060	2061	1
Thomas Fisher	2062	2063	Romania	2062	2063	1
Anna Gibson	2064	2065	Bulgaria	2064	2065	1
James Harrison	2066	2067	Serbia	2066	2067	1
Mary Howell	2068	2069	Croatia	2068	2069	1
George Ingram	2070	2071	Slovenia	2070	2071	1
William Jackson	2072	2073	Czech Republic	2072	2073	1
Elizabeth Johnson	2074	2075	Slovak Republic	2074	2075	1
Thomas Kelly	2076	2077	Hungary	2076	2077	1
Anna Lewis	2078	2079	Romania	2078	2079	1
James Martin	2080	2081	Bulgaria	2080	2081	1
Mary Mitchell	2082	2083	Serbia	2082	2083	1
George Nelson	2084	2085	Croatia	2084	2085	1
William Olsen	2086	2087	Slovenia	2086	2087	1
Elizabeth Parker	2088	2089	Czech Republic	2088	2089	1
Thomas Quinn	2090	2091	Slovak Republic	2090	2091	1
Anna Reed	2092	2093	Hungary	2092	2093	1
James Scott	2094	2095	Romania	2094	2095	1
Mary Taylor	2096	2097	Bulgaria	2096	2097	1
George Vance	2098	2099	Serbia	2098	2099	1
William Wells	2100	2101	Croatia	2100	2101	1

The following table shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries. The table is divided into two parts: the first part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries; the second part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries.

The following table shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries. The table is divided into two parts: the first part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries; the second part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries.

The following table shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries. The table is divided into two parts: the first part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries; the second part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries.

The following table shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries. The table is divided into two parts: the first part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries; the second part shows the results of the investigation of the cases of the persons who were arrested in the United States and who were later deported to their native countries.



## Table 9 continued.

## Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, and 4: From reports issued by Cannery League of California.

Col. 3: Column 1 plus column 2.

Col. 5: Column 3 minus column 4. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1926-27 from Hoos, Sidney, and Irving Dubov. Indexes of Competing Canned Fruit Prices, Giannini Foundation Report, October, 1949.



Table 2 Continued.

Source:	From reports issued by Canners League of Calif. Fruit.
Col. 2:	Column 1 plus column 2.
Col. 3:	Column 3 minus column 1. Years 1924-25, 1925-26, and 1926-27 from Hoos, Sidney, and Irving Davis. Index of competing canned fruit prices, Cincinnati Foundation Report, October, 1929.



TABLE 10

California Canned Fruit Cocktail, Cannery's Pack,  
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1936-37

Marketing year, June through May	Direct pack	Remanu- factured pack	Cannery's carry- over on hand at begin- ning of year	Total supply	Cannery's carry- over on hand at end of year	Total movement from cannery's hands <sup>a/</sup>	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
thousands of cases; 24 No. 2½ bases								
1936-37	2,221			2,595	336	2,259	809	1,450
1937-38	3,078	228.000	336	3,642	1,295	2,347	758	1,589
1938-39	1,968	75.000	1,295	3,337	288	3,049	979	2,070
1939-40	3,580	73.000	288	3,942	747	3,195	1,044	2,151
1940-41	4,262	98.000	747	5,108	587	4,521	73	4,448
(War years)								
1947-48	9,324	125.000	62	9,511	299	9,212	442	8,770
1948-49	9,754	85.000	299	10,139	3,016	7,122	390	6,732
1949-50	6,135	445.000	3,016	9,596	2,014	7,492	471	7,021
1950-51	6,810	302.000	2,104	9,217	490	8,727	724	8,003
1951-52	8,999	--	490	9,488	2,335	7,153	705	5,499 <sup>b/</sup>
1952-53 <sup>c/</sup>	7,489	0.277	2,335	9,824	1,126	8,698	1,107	7,179 <sup>d/</sup>

<sup>a/</sup> Movement figures may not be precisely consistent with other figures due to rounding.

<sup>b/</sup> Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 949,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 6,448,000 cases.

<sup>c/</sup> Preliminary; subject to revision.

<sup>d/</sup> Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 412,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 7,591,000 cases.

Sources:

Cols. 1, 2, 3, and 5: From reports issued by Cannery's League of California.

Col. 4: Sum of columns 1, 2, and 3.

Col. 6: Column 4 less column 5.

Col. 7: U. S. Department of Commerce; March-May, 1953, estimated.

Col. 8: Column 6 minus column 7.



TABLE 10

California Canned Fruit Canners, Packers,  
Carry-Over, Shipments, and Exports, from 1936-37

Marketing Year, June through May	Direct pack	Remann- factured pack	Carry- over on hand at begin- ning of year	Total supply	Carriers, over on hand at end of year	Total movement from canneries, hand at beginning	United States exports	Commercial domestic movement
1936-37	2,221	228,000	336	2,292	336	2,252	809	1,450
1937-38	3,078	75,000	1,292	3,612	1,292	2,317	758	1,589
1938-39	1,968	75,000	288	3,337	288	3,049	979	2,070
1939-40	3,280	75,000	288	3,912	177	3,735	1,011	2,721
1940-41	4,262	98,000	177	4,439	587	4,251	73	4,324
(War Years)								
1941-42	9,321	125,000	62	9,443	299	9,144	142	8,770
1942-43	9,721	85,000	299	10,132	3,016	7,116	390	6,726
1943-44	6,135	115,000	3,016	9,256	2,011	7,245	171	7,074
1944-45	6,810	302,000	2,101	9,217	1,900	7,317	721	8,003
1945-46	8,999	—	1,900	9,148	2,332	6,816	707	7,523
1946-47	7,189	0,227	2,332	9,821	1,126	8,695	1,107	7,588

a/ Movement figures may not be precisely constant with other figures due to rounding.

b/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 91,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 6,118,000 cases.

c/ Preliminary; subject to revision.

d/ Excludes United States government (quartermaster) purchases of 112,000 cases. If government purchases were included, total domestic movement would be 7,521,000 cases.

Sources:

Col. A, B, and C: From reports issued by Canners League of California.

Col. D: Sum of columns A, B, and C.

Col. E: Column D less column F.

Col. F: U. S. Department of Commerce; March-May, 1953, estimated.

Col. G: Column E minus column F.